

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND
TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re the Application of:	DANIEL W. RODLIN)	I hereby certify that this correspondence is being
)	filed by EFS-Web on November 19, 2007.
Serial No.:	10/808,261)	<hr/>
Filed:	03/23/2004)	/Lawrence S. Cohen/ <hr/>
For:	PRESHAPED FORM)	Lawrence S. Cohen
Patent Examiner:)	
Art Unit:	3635)	
)	
)	

RESPONSE TO REQUIREMENT FOR RESTRICTION

This is a response to the requirement for restriction dated September 24, 2007. A one-month extension of time is requested for this response. The fee for the extension of time is paid for by a credit card charge along with this filing. If any further extension of time for this filing is required, such further extension of time is requested. If any further fee is required for this filing such further fee may be charged to deposit account no 50-1054.

The Examiner required restriction to either claims 1-42, the article claims or claims 43-62, the method claims. Applicant elects the method claims, claims 43-60.

The Examiner has also identified several species based on selected ones of the drawings on the basis that they are independent or distinct because they are structurally different embodiments. The species designations are traversed. The conclusion that the figures show structurally different embodiments is not a sufficient basis for defining different species. Moreover there are generic claims as noted below.

Applicant elects the designated species of Figs 27-33 with traverse. Applicant submits, without limitation, that Figs 19, 20, 21,, 24, 25 and 26 either show in its entirety the asserted species identified in Figs 27-33, or show portions of the structures that will be or have been made into that asserted species; and also that Figs 22, 23, 24 and 25 show at least in part the apparatus (Figs 22, 23, 24) and steps (Fig 25) used for practicing the method in the elected method claims 43-60.

In particular, for example, Fig 19 shows a profile of a corner aid strip that will be formed into the side connected wire assembly (claim 1) and the side corner aid (claim 43). In Fig 20, it has been adjusted in profile and Fig 21 shows how it has been used in an

assembly of one configuration of the end product, also being shown in Figs 24, 25, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31 and 32 as well as in Figs 33-40 albeit in stepped or stacked or special configurations.

In view of the foregoing, applicant submits that elected claims 40-60 comprehend the examiner's identified species, at least of Figs 21, 27-33, 35, 36, 38 and 40 because those figures use as at least one component of each of them a component made under one or more of claims 40-60.

Reconsideration is requested

Law Offices of Lawrence S. Cohen
10960 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1220
Los Angeles, CA 90024
Telephone: 310-231-6898
Facsimile: 310-231-6899
Email: cohenlaw@coheniplaw.com

Respectfully submitted,

/Lawrence S. Cohen/
Lawrence S. Cohen
Date: November 19, 2007
Reg. No. 25,225